

---

## MATH 836: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations

Spring 2013 (F.–J. Sayas)

Problems

IV. Non-symmetric and complex problems

---

1. **Non-negative distributions.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ . We say that  $T \geq 0$  when

$$\langle T, \varphi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_+(\Omega) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) : \varphi \geq 0\}$$

Show that this definition is coherent for regular distributions, that is, when  $T = f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ , then  $T \geq 0$  is equivalent to  $f \geq 0$  (almost everywhere).

2. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set and  $\kappa : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  be a matrix valued function satisfying:

$$\kappa_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega) \quad \forall i, j,$$

and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d \kappa_{ij}(\cdot) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \kappa_0 \sum_{j=1}^d |\xi_j|^2 \quad \text{almost everywhere} \quad \forall (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

- (a) Study well-posedness of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ (\kappa \nabla u, \nabla v)_\Omega = (f, v)_\Omega \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

- (b) Write an equivalent boundary value problem.  
(c) Show that the components of  $\kappa^{-1}$  are  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  functions.  
(d) Show that is  $\kappa^\top = \kappa$ , then there is an associated minimization principle and the expression

$$\|u\|_\kappa := \int_\Omega (\kappa \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u$$

defines an equivalent norm in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

3. Prove Riesz-Fréchet's Theorem in the complex case, namely, the map

$$\begin{aligned} V &\longrightarrow V^* \\ u &\longmapsto (u, \cdot)_V \end{aligned}$$

is an isometric isomorphism between a complex Hilbert space  $V$  and its antidual  $V^*$ .

4. Let  $V$  be a complex vector space, let  $a : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be sesquilinear (linear in the first component, conjugate linear in the second one) and  $\ell : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be conjugate linear. Show that the minimization problem

$$\frac{1}{2}a(u, u) - \ell(u) = \min!, \quad u \in V$$

is equivalent to the variational problem

$$\begin{cases} u \in V, \\ a(u, v) = \ell(v), \quad \forall v \in V. \end{cases}$$

(**Hint.** Show that the following problem

$$\begin{cases} u \in V, \\ \operatorname{Re} a(u, v) = \operatorname{Re} \ell(v), \quad \forall v \in V, \end{cases}$$

is equivalent to both problems.)

5. Let  $V$  be a complex vector space endowed with a conjugate linear involution that we will call conjugation, that is, we have a map  $V \rightarrow V$ , whose action we denote  $u \mapsto \bar{u}$  such that

$$\overline{\bar{u}} = u, \quad \overline{u + v} = \bar{u} + \bar{v}, \quad \overline{\alpha u} = \bar{\alpha} \bar{u}, \quad \forall u, v \in V, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(Note that we are using the overline symbol with two different meanings in the last formula.)

- (a) Show that there exists a real vector space  $W$  whose complexification is  $V$ . (**Hint.** Consider the space  $W = \{u \in V : u = \bar{u}\}$  with multiplication by real scalars.)  
 (b) Assume that  $V$  is an inner product space and that

$$\overline{(u, v)_V} = (\bar{u}, \bar{v})_V \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

Show that we can endow  $W$  with an inner product so that, when we complexify, we recover the inner product of  $V$ .

6. Consider two functions  $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$  and the following system of boundary value problems (here  $\Omega$  is a bounded set):

$$\begin{cases} u_1, u_2 \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ -\Delta u_1 + u_2 = f_1, \\ \Delta u_2 + u_1 = f_2. \end{cases}$$

(Note the different signs of the Laplacians.)

- (a) Write an equivalent variational formulation working on the space  $V = H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ :

$$\begin{cases} (u_1, u_2) \in V, \\ a((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) = \ell((v_1, v_2)) \quad \forall (v_1, v_2) \in V. \end{cases}$$

- (b) Consider now the function  $u = u_1 + iu_2 \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) =: V_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Write the BVP as a problem in the variable  $u$ , find its equivalent variational formulation and show that it is well posed.  
 (c) The strategy used in (b) to show coercivity can be used to find a transformation  $R : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$a((u_1, u_2), R(u_1, u_2)) \geq \alpha \|(u_1, u_2)\|_V^2 \quad \forall (u_1, u_2) \in V.$$